SMART: Screen-based Gesture Recognition on Commodity Mobile Devices

Zimo Liao¹, Zhicheng Luo², Qianyi Huang^{2,5}, Linfeng Zhang³, Fan Wu¹, Qian Zhang⁴, Yi Wang^{2,5}

Shanghai Jiao Tong University¹

Southern University of Science and Technology2Tsinghua University3Hong Kong University of Science and Technology4Peng Cheng Laboratory5



MobiCom 2021

In-air gesture control is natural and contactless









Gesture control via hardware on mobile devices



Gesture control via hardware modification



Can we support in-air gesture recognition on legacy devices without hardware modification?

Can we support in-air gesture recognition on legacy devices without hardware modification?







What is the relationship between the received light power and the hand gesture?

Model the "Screen-Hand-ALS" channel



Calculate received power













The fundamental working principle of SMART

Screen's refresh rate limits modulated frequency

Refresh time per frame	$t(=\frac{1}{f_r})$	2 <i>t</i>	3 <i>t</i>	 nt
Frequency	f_1	$\frac{f_1}{2}$	$\frac{f_1}{3}$	 $\frac{f_1}{n}$

Lower frequency

Higher frequency light signals are needed since human eyes are sensitive to low frequency flickering

Transmit high frequency signal





Hide signals in the screen content



Color decomposition of each pixel





Color space: RGB -> CIE 1931



Color decomposition of each pixel





Color space: RGB -> CIE 1931



Color decomposition of each pixel





Color space: RGB -> CIE 1931



Edge smoothing



Relieve phantom array effect



Signal received by ALS is low-quality



Segmentation according to reflected power



Segmentation according to reflected power



Segmentation according to reflected power



Signal pre-processing and classification



Signal pre-processing and classification



Feature extraction & Classification

Gesture recognition

Evaluation

• Prototype

- Transmitter: iPad Pro 11;
- Receiver: TEMT6000(250Hz);
 Arduino Due;

• Experiment setting

- 9 gestures;
- 8 users;
- 5 static & 2 dynamic lighting environments;



Evaluation

Prototype

- Transmitter: iPad Pro 11;
- Receiver: TEMT6000(250Hz); Arduino Due;
- Experiment setting
 - 9 gestures;
 - 8 users;
 - 5 static & 2 dynamic lighting environments;



Accuracy v.s. Different users



SMART is a generic model.

Accuracy v.s. Different lighting environments



Accuracy v.s. Unseen lighting environments

leave-one-out 10-fold



User perception



15 volunteers, 6 different images



Power consumption comparison with depth camera



Power consumption comparison with depth camera



SMART's power consumption is lower than depth-camera

Thanks for your attention! Q&A